# Learning the Hamiltonian of some constrained mechanical systems

#### Davide Murari davide.murari@ntnu.no Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim

#### Computational Mathematics and Machine Learning Lorentz Center, 04-10-2021

Part of an ongoing project with Elena Celledoni, Ergys Çokaj, Andrea Leone, Brynjulf Owren.

## Definition of the problem



Problem:

- How can we approximate the Hamiltonian *H* of a vector field X<sub>H</sub> ∈ 𝔅 (*M*), where *M* is a symplectic manifold, starting from a set of given trajectories?
- And how can we approximate the solutions of this Hamiltonian system with a neural network?

## A Neural Network as the Hamiltonian

• Assumption on the Hamiltonian to learn:

$$H(q,p) = \frac{1}{2}p^{T}M^{-1}p + U(q), \ (q,p) \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

## A Neural Network as the Hamiltonian

• Assumption on the Hamiltonian to learn:

$$H(q,p)=rac{1}{2}p^TM^{-1}p+U(q), \ \ (q,p)\in M\subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

• Approximation of the kinetic energy

$$\mathcal{K}(p) \approx \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(p) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{A}p\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} p^T (\mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{A}) p$$

## A Neural Network as the Hamiltonian

• Assumption on the Hamiltonian to learn:

$$H(q,p)=rac{1}{2}p^TM^{-1}p+U(q), \ \ (q,p)\in M\subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

• Approximation of the kinetic energy

$$\mathcal{K}(p) \approx \mathcal{K}_A(p) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ap\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} p^T (A^T A) p$$

• Approximation of the potential energy

$$U(q) \approx U_{\theta}(q) = f_{\theta_m} \circ ... \circ f_{\theta_1}(q),$$
  

$$\theta_i = (W_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_{i-1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_i}, \ \theta := [\theta_1, ..., \theta_m]$$
  

$$f_{\theta_i}(q) := \Sigma(qW_i^T + b_i), \ \mathbb{R}^n \ni z \mapsto \Sigma(z) = [\sigma(z_1), ..., \sigma(z_n)] \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
  
and for example  $\sigma(x) = tanh(x).$ 

## Mechanical systems with holonomic constraints

We focus on the case  $M = T^*Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , where

$$Q = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(q) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

## Mechanical systems with holonomic constraints

We focus on the case  $M = T^*Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , where

$$Q = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(q) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let  $H : M \to \mathbb{R}$  be the Hamiltonian. Then the vector field  $X_H \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  can be written in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & P(q) \\ -P(q)^T & M(q,p) \end{bmatrix} \nabla H(q,p) = X_H(q,p)$$



A smooth manifold M is homogeneous if there is a transitive Lie group action  $\psi : G \times M \to M$ .

A smooth manifold M is homogeneous if there is a transitive Lie group action  $\psi : G \times M \to M$ .

- We restrict to the case  $T^*Q = M$  is homogeneous.
- Here the  $\Delta t$  flow of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , reads

$$\Phi^{\Delta t}(x) = \psi(exp(\sigma_x(\Delta t)), x)$$
$$\dot{\sigma}_x = dexp_{\sigma_x}^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi(exp(\sigma_x), x), \ \sigma_x(0) = 0 \in \mathfrak{g},$$

for some  $f: M \to \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ .

A smooth manifold M is homogeneous if there is a transitive Lie group action  $\psi : G \times M \to M$ .

- We restrict to the case  $T^*Q = M$  is homogeneous.
- Here the  $\Delta t$  flow of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , reads

$$\Phi^{\Delta t}(x) = \psi(\exp(\sigma_x(\Delta t)), x)$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_x = dexp_{\sigma_x}^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi(exp(\sigma_x), x), \ \sigma_x(0) = 0 \in \mathfrak{g},$$

for some  $f: M \to \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ .

• **Examples:** systems on  $S^2$ , SO(3), SE(3), their (co)tangent bundles and even their cartesian products.

A smooth manifold M is homogeneous if there is a transitive Lie group action  $\psi : G \times M \to M$ .

- We restrict to the case  $T^*Q = M$  is homogeneous.
- Here the  $\Delta t$  flow of  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , reads

$$\Phi^{\Delta t}(x) = \psi(\exp(\sigma_x(\Delta t)), x)$$

$$\dot{\sigma}_x = dexp_{\sigma_x}^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi(exp(\sigma_x), x), \ \sigma_x(0) = 0 \in \mathfrak{g},$$

for some  $f: M \to \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ .

- **Examples:** systems on  $S^2$ , SO(3), SE(3), their (co)tangent bundles and even their cartesian products.
- If  $X = X_H$  is Hamiltonian, then f just depends on H and M, i.e. f = F[H] for some F depending on M.

• Approximation of the Hamiltonian:  $Net(q, p) = K_A(p) + U_{\theta}(q)$ ,

- Approximation of the Hamiltonian:  $Net(q, p) = K_A(p) + U_{\theta}(q)$ ,
- Training trajectories:

$$\{(x_i, y_i^1, ..., y_i^M)\}_{i=1,...,N}, y_i^j = \Phi_{X_H}^{j\Delta t}(x_i)$$

- Approximation of the Hamiltonian:  $Net(q, p) = K_A(p) + U_{\theta}(q)$ ,
- Training trajectories:

$$\{(x_i, y_i^1, ..., y_i^M)\}_{i=1,...,N}, \ y_i^j = \Phi_{X_H}^{j\Delta t}(x_i)$$

• Approximation of the  $\Delta t$  flow with some numerical method  $\hat{y}_i^{j+1} = \psi(exp(\bar{\sigma}), \hat{y}_i^j)$  where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the  $\Delta t$  solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\sigma} = dexp_{\sigma}^{-1} \circ F[Net] \circ \psi(exp(\sigma), \hat{y}_{i}^{j}), \\ \sigma(0) = 0 \in \mathfrak{g} \end{cases}$$

approximated with some Runge-Kutta method.

- Approximation of the Hamiltonian:  $Net(q, p) = K_A(p) + U_{\theta}(q)$ ,
- Training trajectories:

$$\{(x_i, y_i^1, ..., y_i^M)\}_{i=1,...,N}, \ y_i^j = \Phi_{X_H}^{j\Delta t}(x_i)$$

• Approximation of the  $\Delta t$  flow with some numerical method  $\hat{y}_i^{j+1} = \psi(exp(\bar{\sigma}), \hat{y}_i^j)$  where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the  $\Delta t$  solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\sigma} = dexp_{\sigma}^{-1} \circ F[Net] \circ \psi(exp(\sigma), \hat{y}_{i}^{j}), \\ \sigma(0) = 0 \in \mathfrak{g} \end{cases}$$

approximated with some Runge-Kutta method.

**Optimize the weights:** 
$$\mathcal{L}(A, \theta) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d(\hat{y}_{i}^{j}, y_{i}^{j})^{2}$$

## Case $M = T^*S^2$

• A transitive action on *M* is

 $\psi: SE(3) \times M \rightarrow M, \ \psi((R,r),(q,p)) = (Rq, Rp + r \times Rq),$ 

• The Hamiltonian is a function  $H: M \to \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$f = F[H] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \nabla H.$$



- How can we preserve not just the geometry of the phase space, but even symplecticity of the flow map and the Hamiltonian energy?
- How does this global approach compare to one based on intrinsic formulation of the dynamics typical of geometric mechanics?
- How can we extend this approach to Hamiltonian systems defined on generic symplectic manifolds?

## Thanks for the attention